Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,589	HONG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Hoffmann	1791	

	John Hoffmann	1791		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE		
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 T CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.			
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriate	extension fee	
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett _ appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
The Arthur Grown and the revidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The arguments are directed to the proposed amendment	. Since the amendment is not enter			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	г 10/36/00) Paper No(s)			
	/John Hoffmann/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1791		

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The new issues: whether changing "until" to "such that" and adding "just" to claim 1 would make any of the claims allowable .